

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Public Safety Division



MEMORANDUM

TO: Richard Schmechel
Executive Director
D.C. Criminal Code Reform Commission

FROM: Dave Rosenthal
Senior Assistant Attorney General

DATE: March 9, 2018

SUBJECT: Third Draft of Report #2, Basic Requirements of Offense Liability

The Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia (OAG) and the other members of the Code Revision Advisory Group of the D.C. Criminal Code Reform Commission (CCRC) were asked to review the First Draft of Report #12, Definition of a Criminal Conspiracy. OAG reviewed this document and makes the recommendations noted below.¹

COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT REPORT

RCC § 22A-206 HIERARCHY OF CULPABLE MENTAL STATES

RCC § 22A-206 should separately define the term “enhanced recklessness” and account for it in the hierarchy of culpable Mental states. RCC § 22A-206, as written, includes the definitions of purpose, knowledge, intent, recklessness, and negligence, as well as the hierarchy of the culpable mental states. Proof of a greater culpable mental state satisfies the requirements for a lower state. RCC § 22A-206 (d) (1) defines recklessness with respect to a result and (d)(2) defines recklessness with respect to a circumstance. On pages 20 through 22 the Commentary explains how recklessness differs from “enhanced recklessness.” The explanation of enhanced recklessness is contained in RCC § 22A-206 (d)(3). As enhanced recklessness differs from recklessness, it should not be treated as a subpart of the definition of recklessness. Instead, the

¹ This review was conducted under the understanding that the structure of the code revision process allows the members of the Code Revision Advisory Group an opportunity to provide meaningful input without limiting the position that the members may take at any subsequent hearing that the Council may have on any legislation that may result from the Report.

definition should stand on its own and should follow the formatting of the other definitions in RCC § 22A-206. In other words, RCC § 22A-206 (d)(3) should be deleted and replaced with a new paragraph. That paragraph should be entitled “ENHANCED RECKLESSNESS DEFINED” and should be followed by two paragraphs that explains how “A person acts with enhanced recklessness” with respect to a result and a circumstance. The hierarchy should make clear that proof of recklessness is satisfied by proof of enhanced recklessness.